

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/752,135 | 01/06/2004 | David E. Francischelli | P-8922.06 | P-8922.06 3918 | |
| 27581 7 | 7590 11/01/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MEDTRONIC, INC. | | | ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE | | |
| 710 MEDTRO MINNEAPOL | NIC PARK IS, MN 55432-9924 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3739 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| 10/752,135 | FRANCISCHELLI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Rosiland S. Rollins | 3739 | | |
| | · · | | |

| | Rosiland S. Rollins | 3739 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>04 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE '06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered b | ecause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | , , , | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1. | 116 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> /it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a l). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ied. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | Rosiland S Rollins Primary Examiner | Collend |

Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3739

Continuation of 3. NOTE: a temperature sensing pad operatively adapted to sense temperature along a second side of the tissue opposite the first side in response to ablation energy delivered to the first side; would require further consideration .